

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandrin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,489	09/15/2003	Masakazu Ogawa	117147	9245
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 03/18/2010 PRIDGE PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			TOPGYAL, GELEK W	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2010	EL ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/661,489 OGAWA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit GELEK TOPGYAL 2621 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply

reliou for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE (Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), 1 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with gathate, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patter term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.74(b).	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Januar	<u>y 2010</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This actio	n is non-final.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance ex 	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/CE)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/661,489 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/5/2010 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/5/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In re pages 11-12, the applicants argue that Nagasaka fails to teach the newly added limitation of "wherein the preprocessing unit extracts a plurality of static image data and each static image data has a variable time width". Cols. 10, lines 46-50 and col. 15, lines 46-67 are cited as teaching shot-representative pictures are extracted at predetermined intervals.
- 3. In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner would like to point to the alternate mode wherein the system of Nagasaka extracts shot-representative pictures at the discovery of a new shot/scene. Col. 9, line 8 through Col. 10, line 67 discusses the digest making process wherein the shot-representative pictures are extracted when a new scene/shot is determined. Figure 7 displays a result of such wherein over several 15 minute intervals between 11:00 and 12:00, various different numbers of shot-representative pictures have been extracted, e.g., between

Art Unit: 2621

11:00 and 11:15, 4 shot-representative pictures have been extracted. Similarly, within the next three 15 minute intervals a different number of 2, 7 and 3 shot-representative pictures have been extracted, therefore, it is clear that Nagasaka also teaches a method wherein the shot-representative pictures are extracted over a non-predetermined time width, therefore, the shot-representative pictures have a variable time width.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders et al. (US 2006/0288113) in view of Nagasaka (US 5,974,218).

Regarding claim 1, Saunders et al. teaches an image reproduction system that reproduces static image data synchronously with reproduction of video data, comprising:

a position information obtainment unit that obtains a reproduction time position of the video data as the video data is reproduced (Paragraph 42 teaches wherein a content author can determine the rendering time for a video component of the entire presentation. Fig. 5 further shows where Video 502 is synchronized along with other media samples/data. Paragraph 44 teaches that rendering times for each video sequences 714 are stored by the format writer 716 as part of the presentation.

Paragraphs 54-57 teaches a renderer that uses a browser 758 or a multimedia player

Art Unit: 2621

760 that receives the presentation and reproduces according to the rendering times set in the presentation);

an image obtainment unit that obtains extracted static image data associated in advance with the obtained reproduction time position (Paragraph 42 teaches wherein a content author can determine the rendering time for each media sample ("HTML, image") of the entire presentation. Fig. 5 further shows where media samples within Banner 504, Slides 506 are synchronized along with other media samples/data.

Paragraph 44 teaches that rendering times for media samples are stored by the format writer 716 as part of the presentation. Paragraphs 54-57 teaches a renderer that uses a browser 758 or a multimedia player 760 that receives the presentation and reproduces according to the rendering times set in the presentation); and

an image reproduction unit that reproduces the obtained static image data synchronously with the video data (Fig. 5 and Paragraphs 51-53 teaches where a client access a presentation which is reproduced according to the rendering times set by the user as discussed above).

However, Saunders fails to particularly teach a preprocessing unit that extracts static image from the video data by an operator operation that performs the setting operation while viewing the data before a disposition registration of the video data is initiated, wherein the preprocessing unit extracts a plurality of still image data and each static image data has a variable time width.

Art Unit: 2621

In an analogous art, Nagasaka et al. teaches in col. 3, lines 43-48, col.3, line 56 through col. 4, line 35, col. 9, line 9 through col. 10, line 67 wherein a broadcast program is programmed to be recorded by a user. During the recording of the broadcast program a digest picture is extracted from the video program. The extraction of shot-representative pictures are extracted based on a detection of a new scene/shot. The scene/shot length can vary from one scene to the next according to the broadcast program and hence the extraction of the static image (generation of shot-representative pictures) is based on a variable time-width.

The system of Saunders et al. can be modified to allow for capturing and storing of the still image files, as taught by Nagasaka so that the extracted still images can be used for the media presentation file of Saunders et al. Thereafter, the still images of Nagasaka can be added to the existing presentation to finalize a presentation as desired. The still images are extracted even before the corresponding broadcast program has completed recording, therefore, the system of Saunders et al. can not utilize the extracted digest pictures or the associated video. Therefore, the extraction of the digest picture occurs before a disposition registration can even begin.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to extract a still image from a video data stream as taught by Nagasaka so that information relative to the video can be used for the media presentation file of Saunders et al.

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 2, Saunders et al. teaches an image reproduction system that reproduces static image data synchronously with reproduction of video data, comprising:

a delivery server that holds the video data and static image data associated with the video data (paragraph, 49, media server 742); and

a browsing client that reproduces and displays on a screen the video data and static image data provided by the delivery server (paragraphs 51-53, client browses a presentation),

wherein the browsing client comprises:

a position information obtainment unit that obtains a reproduction time position of the video data as the video data is reproduced (paragraphs 54-57 teaches a renderer that uses a browser 758 or a multimedia player 760 that receives the presentation and reproduces according to the rendering times set in the presentation (as discussed in claim 1 above));

an image request unit that makes a request to the delivery server for <u>the</u> static image data associated in advance with the reproduction time position (paragraphs 51-53 teaches where a user on a client machine requests a particular presentation to be accessed/viewed. The presentation includes the still images within media samples); and

an image reproduction unit that reproduces the static image data synchronously with the video data, the static image data being provided by the delivery server in response to the request (paragraphs 56-57 teaches where a presentation, which

Art Unit: 2621

includes video 502 and images stored by itself or within Banners 504 and/or Slides 506 are reproduced in synchronism).

However, Saunders fails to particularly teach a preprocessing unit that extracts static image from the video data by an operator operation that performs the setting operation while viewing the data before a disposition registration of the video data is initiated, wherein the preprocessing unit extracts a plurality of still image data and each static image data has a variable time width.

In an analogous art, Nagasaka et al. teaches in col. 3, lines 43-48, col.3, line 56 through col. 4, line 35, col. 9, line 9 through col. 10, line 67 wherein a broadcast program is programmed to be recorded by a user. During the recording of the broadcast program a digest picture is extracted from the video program. The extraction of shot-representative pictures are extracted based on a detection of a new scene/shot. The scene/shot length can vary from one scene to the next according to the broadcast program and hence the extraction of the static image (generation of shot-representative pictures) is based on a variable time-width.

The system of Saunders et al. can be modified to allow for capturing and storing of the still image files, as taught by Nagasaka so that the extracted still images can be used for the media presentation file of Saunders et al. Thereafter, the still images of Nagasaka can be added to the existing presentation to finalize a presentation as desired. The still images are extracted even before the corresponding broadcast program has completed recording, therefore, the system of Saunders et al. can not

Art Unit: 2621

utilize the extracted digest pictures or the associated video. Therefore, the extraction of the digest picture occurs before a disposition registration can even begin.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to extract a still image from a video data stream as taught by Nagasaka so that information relative to the video can be used for the media presentation file of Saunders et al.

Regarding claim 3, the proposed combination of Saunders et al. and Nagasaka teaches the claimed as discussed above in claim 1, and furthermore, Saunders et al. teaches the claimed further comprising:

a specification unit that accepts reproduction time position information of the video data from a user's input (as discussed in claim 1 above, wherein a content author can set rendering times for video sequences 502, 714, and the plethora of media samples including still images); and

a video reproduction unit that reproduces the video data from a time position corresponding to the accepted reproduction time position information (as discussed in claim 1 above),

wherein the position information obtainment unit obtains time position information specified by the user's input (as discussed in claim 1 above, wherein a content author can set rendering times for video sequences 502, 714, and the plethora of media samples including still images).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 4, Saunders et al. teaches an image reproduction system that reproduces video data and plural pieces of static image data in association with each other, comprising:

a specification unit that accepts a command provided by a user's input to select one piece of static image data from the plural pieces of static image data; (paragraphs 36-37 teaches wherein a client requests to seek to a particular point in the presentation. The client has the ability to seek to a particular point, including the locations of still images stored in banner 504 and/or slides 506); and

a video reproduction unit that reproduces the video data from a reproduction time position with which the selected piece of static image data is associated (as discussed above, after the client has chosen a particular still image, i.e. a particular location, the presentation resumes from that particular location).

However, Saunders fails to particularly teach a preprocessing unit that extracts static image from the video data by an operator operation that performs the setting operation while viewing the data before a disposition registration of the video data is initiated, wherein the preprocessing unit extracts a plurality of still image data and each static image data has a variable time width.

In an analogous art, Nagasaka et al. teaches in col. 3, lines 43-48, col.3, line 56 through col. 4, line 35, col. 9, line 9 through col. 10, line 67 wherein a broadcast program is programmed to be recorded by a user. During the recording of the broadcast program a digest picture is extracted from the video program. The extraction of shot-

Art Unit: 2621

representative pictures are extracted based on a detection of a new scene/shot. The scene/shot length can vary from one scene to the next according to the broadcast program and hence the extraction of the static image (generation of shot-representative pictures) is based on a variable time-width.

The system of Saunders et al. can be modified to allow for capturing and storing of the still image files, as taught by Nagasaka so that the extracted still images can be used for the media presentation file of Saunders et al. Thereafter, the still images of Nagasaka can be added to the existing presentation to finalize a presentation as desired. The still images are extracted even before the corresponding broadcast program has completed recording, therefore, the system of Saunders et al. can not utilize the extracted digest pictures or the associated video. Therefore, the extraction of the digest picture occurs before a disposition registration can even begin.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to extract a still image from a video data stream as taught by Nagasaka so that information relative to the video can be used for the media presentation file of Saunders et al.

Claims 5-7 and 9-11 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 2 above.

Claims 8 and 12 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 4 above.

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claims 13-20, the proposed combination of Saunders et al. and Nagasaka teaches the claimed as discussed in claims 1-2 and 4-12 above, and furthermore, Saunders et al. teaches the claimed further comprising a retrieval interface (Fig. 7B and paragraph 49 teaches of a Client Site that retrieves a particular Presentation File) including a keyword input part that matches keyword input with contents data associated with the image data to retrieve results (Fig. 7B and paragraph 49 teaches of a Client Site that retrieves a particular Presentation File. In order to request a particular Presentation File, information to differentiate one Presentation File from another is inherently input by the user. See paragraph 3 above for further discussion).

Regarding claims 21-31, the limitations are met since the broadcast program recorded in Nagasaka consists of a plurality of frames. The extracted digest picture a single frame from the plurality of still frames that is used to create a video program.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GELEK TOPGYAL whose telephone number is (571)272-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am -5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gelek Topgyal/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/JAMIE JO ATALA/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621